



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,103	02/05/2004	Daniel G. Howard	353356US&CIP	3970
22850 7590 03/25/2010 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
EXAMINER DOAN, TRANG T				
ART UNIT 2431		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 03/25/2010		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/773,103  <b>Examiner</b> TRANG DOAN	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOWARD ET AL.  <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><b>Art Unit</b> 2431</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> </table>	<b>Art Unit</b> 2431	
<b>Art Unit</b> 2431				

**All Participants:**    **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_  
 (1) TRANG DOAN.    (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Bradley D. Lytle.    (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 March 2010    **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:  
16

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's representative authorized the Examiner to amend the dependency of claim 16 to depend on claim 10.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Trang Doan/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2431

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)